UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROLAND P. HAMILTON,) 3:15-cv-00342-RCJ-WGC
Plaintiff,) MINUTES OF THE COURT
VS.) September 12, 2016
ROMEO ARANAS, et al.,)
Defendants.)) _)
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIA	M G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE OGDEN	REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE	APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NON	E APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion requesting an extension of his copy work limit in the amount of \$50.00 (ECF No. 27). Plaintiff states he has exceeded his copy work limit. The Court notes Plaintiff has responded to Defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 28), but Plaintiff's relevant medical records were attached to Defendants' motion as Exhibits A & D (ECF No. 23), so he did not have to copy these documents. In fact, in Plaintiff's response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment/motion to dismiss (ECF No. 28), Plaintiff attaches no medical records at all but instead refers to the medical records (Exhibits A & D) provided by the Defendants: "the facts are in the Plaintiff's medical records that the Defendants supplied to the Court in Exhibit A and D filed under seal." (ECF. No. 28 at 10). As such, the Court does not perceive the likelihood of additional filings by the Plaintiff before the motion for summary judgment/motion to dismiss (ECF No. 22) is decided.

Good cause appearing, Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 27) is **GRANTED IN PART.** Plaintiff's copy work limit shall be increased by \$10.00 (which should provide Plaintiff up to 100 copies). The Clerk shall send a copy of this order extending copy work to the Chief of Inmate Services for NDOP (P.O. Box 7011 Carson City, NV 89702) so that the funds can be properly allocated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK	
By:	/s/
	Deputy Clerk